

GOGI PANTSULAIA

## ON A STANDARD PRODUCT OF AN ARBITRARY FAMILY OF $\sigma$ -FINITE BOREL MEASURES WITH DOMAINS IN POLISH SPACES

Let  $\alpha$  be an infinite parameter set, and let  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$  be its any partition such that  $\alpha_i$  is a non-empty finite subset for every  $i \in I$ . For  $j \in \alpha$ , let  $\mu_j$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure defined on a Polish metric space  $(E_j, \rho_j)$ . We introduce a concept of a standard  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ -product of measures  $(\mu_j)_{j \in \alpha}$  and investigate its some properties. As a consequence, we construct "a standard  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ -Lebesgue measure" on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^\alpha$  for every infinite parameter set  $\alpha$  which is invariant under a group generated by shifts. In addition, if  $\text{card}(\alpha_i) = 1$  for every  $i \in I$ , then "a standard  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ -Lebesgue measure"  $m^\alpha$  is invariant under a group generated by shifts and canonical permutations of  $\mathbb{R}^\alpha$ . As a simple consequence, we get that a "standard Lebesgue measure"  $m^\mathbb{N}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}$  improves R. Baker's measure [2].

Let  $(X_i, \mathbf{B}_i, \mu_i)$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) be a family of regular Borel measure spaces, where  $X_i$  is a Hausdorff topological space. In [4], it was proved that a Borel measure  $\mu$  exists on  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$  (with respect to the product topology) such that if  $K_i \subseteq X_i$  is compact for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(K_i)$  converges, then  $\mu(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_i) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(K_i)$ . Note that a special case of this result (in the case where  $X_i = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu_i$  is Lebesgue measure) has been proved only recently in [1]. Slightly later on, work [2] has improved the result in [4] as follows: there exists of a Borel measure  $\lambda$  on  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$  such that if  $R_i \subseteq X_i$  is measurable for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(R_i)$  converges, then  $\lambda(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_i) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_i(R_i)$ .

Note that both above-mentioned constructions in the case where multiplied measures coincide with a specific  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure  $\mu$  in a Hausdorff topological space  $X$  give a measure  $\mu^\mathbb{N}$  which is not invariant under permutations of the  $\mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}$ . To eliminate this defect, we introduce a notion of a *standard product of measures* and prove its existence under some assumptions. Our approach, unlike [4], [1],[2], is based on the notion of a standard product of a family of real numbers. Main results of the article are the theorem about the existence of a *standard product of measures* and its invariance under action of some group of transformations. In the case where multiplied measures coincide with a Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , our product occurs to be invariant under permutations of the  $\mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}$  (see [7]) unlike Baker's measures [1],[2]. In addition, our construction is essentially different from the points of view of [4] and [2], because it allows one to construct a *standard product of measures* for an arbitrary (not only for countable) family of  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measures with domains in Polish spaces.

Suppose that  $X$  is a topological space. The Borel sets  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  are the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the open sets of a topological space  $X$ , and the Baire sets  $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$  are the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra making all real-valued continuous functions measurable. In 1957 (see [5]), Mařík proved that all normal countably paracompact spaces have the following property: Every Baire measure extends to a regular Borel measure. Spaces which have this property have come to be known as Mařík spaces.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28A35, 28Cxx, 28Dxx; Secondary 28C20, 28D10, 28D99.

*Key words and phrases.* Infinite-dimensional Lebesgue measure, product of  $\sigma$ -finite measures.

The designated project has been fulfilled by financial support of the Georgia National Science Foundation (Grants: # GNSF / ST 08/3 – 391, # GNSF / ST 09/3 – 611).

The present manuscript is devoted to the application of properties of some Marík spaces to the definition of a product of an infinite family of  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measures with domains in Polish spaces.

In order to do it, we recall some important notions and well-known results from general topology and probability theory.

$X$  is a Hausdorff space iff distinct points in  $X$  have disjoint neighbourhoods.  $X$  is a regular space if and only if, given any closed set  $F$  and any point  $x$  that does not belong to  $F$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  and a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $F$  that are disjoint.  $X$  is a normal space if and only if, given any disjoint closed sets  $E$  and  $F$ , there are neighbourhoods  $U$  of  $E$  and  $V$  of  $F$  that are also disjoint.  $X$  is a regular Hausdorff space if and only if it is both regular and Hausdorff.  $X$  is a completely regular space if and only if, given any closed set  $F$  and any point  $x$  that does not belong to  $F$ , there is a continuous function  $f$  from  $X$  to the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  and  $f(y) = 1$  for every  $y$  in  $F$ .  $X$  is a Tychonoff space, if and only if it is both completely regular and Hausdorff.

**Lemma 1** ([9], Theorem 4, p. 981) *The following statements about a product of nonempty metric spaces are equivalent:*

- (i) *The product is normal.*
- (ii) *At most  $\aleph_0$  of the factor spaces are noncompact.*

**Lemma 2** [10] *Every normal regular space is completely regular, and every normal Hausdorff space is Tychonoff.*

Recall that a Borel measure  $\mu$  defined on a Hausdorff topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called Radon if

$$(\forall Y)(Y \in \mathcal{B}(X) \ \& \ 0 \leq \mu(Y) < +\infty \rightarrow \mu(Y) = \sup_{\substack{K \subseteq Y \\ K \text{ is compact in } X}} \mu(K)) \diamond$$

and called dense if the condition  $\diamond$  holds for  $Y = X$ .

A family  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  of open subsets in  $(X, \tau)$  is called a generalized sequence if

$$(\forall i_1)(\forall i_2)(i_1 \in I \ \& \ i_2 \in I \rightarrow (\exists i_3)(i_3 \in I \rightarrow (U_{i_1} \subset U_{i_3} \ \& \ U_{i_2} \subset U_{i_3}))).$$

A Borel probability measure  $\mu$  defined on  $X$  is called  $\tau$ -smooth if, for an arbitrary generalized sequence  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ , the condition

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i\right) = \sup_{i \in I} \mu(U_i)$$

is valid.

A Baire probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is called  $\tau_0$ -smooth if, for an arbitrary generalized sequence  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  of open Baire subsets in  $X$ , for which  $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  is also a Baire subset, the condition

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i\right) = \sup_{i \in I} \mu(U_i)$$

is valid.

The following lemma plays a key role in our future investigations.

**Lemma 3** ([12], Theorem 3.3, p. 42) *Let  $X$  be a completely regular topological space, and let  $\mu$  be a Baire probability measure defined on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ . Then*

- (a) *if  $\mu$  is  $\tau_0$ -smooth, there exists a unique  $\tau$ -smooth Borel extension on  $X$ .*
- (b) *if the space  $X$  is Hausdorff and  $\mu$  is dense on  $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ , then  $\mu$  admits a unique Radon extension on  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ .*

Let  $(E_j, \tau_j)_{j \in \alpha}$  be a family of Hausdorff topological spaces. By  $(\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j, \tau)$ , we denote the Tychonoff product of the family of topological spaces  $(E_j, \tau_j)_{j \in \alpha}$ .

**Lemma 4** *Let  $(E_j, \rho_j)_{j \in \alpha}$  be a family of non-empty Polish metric spaces such that at most  $\aleph_0$  of them are noncompact, and let  $\mu_j$  be a Borel probability measure on  $E_j$  for  $j \in \alpha$ . Then the product measure  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$  is  $\tau_0$ -smooth and dense on  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j$ .*

*Proof.* The product  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$  is initially defined on the Baire  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B}_0(\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j)$  as in [12].

Let  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  be an arbitrary generalized sequence of open Baire subsets in  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j$ , for which  $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  is also a Baire subset.

The latter relation getting together with an assumption of Lemma 4 stated that at most  $\aleph_0$  of the family  $(E_j, \rho_j)_{j \in \alpha}$  are noncompact imply that there exist a countable subset  $\alpha_0 \subseteq \alpha$  and  $U_{\alpha_0} \in \mathcal{B}(\prod_{j \in \alpha_0} E_j)$  such that

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i = U_{\alpha_0} \times \left( \prod_{j \in \alpha \setminus \alpha_0} E_j \right)$$

and

$$(\forall j)(j \in \alpha \setminus \alpha_0 \rightarrow (E_j, \rho_j) \text{ is compact}).$$

By the inner regularity of the Borel probability measure  $\prod_{j \in \alpha_0} \mu_j$  with a domain in a Polish space, there exists an increasing family of compact sets  $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $F_k \subseteq U_{\alpha_0}$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \prod_{j \in \alpha_0} \mu_j \right) (F_n) = \left( \prod_{j \in \alpha_0} \mu_j \right) (U_{\alpha_0}).$$

We set  $D_n = F_n \times \prod_{j \in (\alpha \setminus \alpha_0)} E_j$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is obvious that  $(D_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing family of compact subsets in  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j \right) (D_n) = \left( \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j \right) (\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i).$$

It is obvious that  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  is covering  $D_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, using the definition of a generalized sequence of open sets in a topological space, we can construct such a sequence  $(i_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of indices of  $I$  that the sequence  $(U_{i_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  will be increasing and  $D_n \subseteq U_{i_n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have

$$\left( \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j \right) (D_n) \leq \left( \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j \right) (U_{i_n})$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j \right) (\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j \right) (D_n) \leq \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j \right) (U_{i_n}) \leq \left( \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j \right) (\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i). \end{aligned}$$

The latter relation means that the condition

$$\left( \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j \right) \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i \right) = \sup_{i \in I} \left( \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j \right) (U_i)$$

holds. Thus, the measure  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$  is  $\tau_0$ -smooth on  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j$ .

Let us show that the measure  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$  is dense.

We set

$$\alpha_1 = \{j : E_j \text{ is not compact}\}.$$

It is clear that, for the Borel measure  $\prod_{j \in \alpha_1} \mu_j$ , there exists an increasing sequence of compact subsets  $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\prod_{j \in \alpha_1} E_j$  that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \prod_{j \in \alpha_1} \mu_j \right) (F_k) = 1.$$

Now it is easy to see that  $(F_k \times \prod_{j \in \alpha \setminus \alpha_1} E_j)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence of compact subsets in  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j \right) (F_k \times \prod_{j \in \alpha \setminus \alpha_1} E_j) = 1. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 5** *Let  $(E_j, \rho_j)_{j \in \alpha}$  be a family of non-empty Polish metric spaces such that at most  $\aleph_0$  of them are noncompact, and let  $\mu_j$  be a Borel probability measure on  $E_j$  for  $j \in \alpha$ . Then there exists a unique  $\tau$ -smooth Radon extension of the Baire measure  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$  from the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0(\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j)$  to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1,  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j$  is normal. Hence, in order to show that  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j$  is regular, it is sufficient to show that every point  $(x_j)_{j \in \alpha}$  is closed in  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j$ . But the latter relation follows from the Tychonoff well-known theorem because the point  $(x_j)_{j \in \alpha}$  can be considered as a product of compact sets  $(\{x_j\})_{j \in \alpha}$ . Thus, it is normal and regular. By Lemma 2, we claim that the  $(\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j, \tau)$  is a completely regular topological space. Applications of Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 end the proof of Lemma 5.  $\square$

We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6 ([6], Lemma 4.4, p. 67)** *Let  $(E_1, \tau_1)$  and  $(E_2, \tau_2)$  be two topological spaces. By  $\mathcal{B}(E_1)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(E_2)$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{B}(E_1 \times E_2)$ ), we denote the class of all Borel subsets generated by the topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  (respectively,  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ ). If at least one of these topological spaces has a countable base, then the equality*

$$\mathcal{B}(E_1) \times \mathcal{B}(E_2) = \mathcal{B}(E_1 \times E_2)$$

holds.

Let us recall the definition of a standard product of non-negative real numbers

$$(\beta_j)_{j \in \alpha} \in [0, +\infty]^\alpha.$$

**Definition 1** A standard product of the family of numbers  $(\beta_j)_{j \in \alpha}$  is denoted by  $(\mathbf{S}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \beta_j$  and defined as follows:

(S)  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} \beta_j = 0$  if  $\sum_{j \in \alpha^-} \ln(\beta_j) = -\infty$ , where  $\alpha^- = \{j : \ln(\beta_j) < 0\}$ <sup>1</sup>, and  
(S)  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} \beta_j = e^{\sum_{j \in \alpha} \ln(\beta_j)}$  if  $\sum_{j \in \alpha^-} \ln(\beta_j) \neq -\infty$ .

Let  $(E, S)$  be a measurable space, and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be any subclass of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $S$ . Let  $(\mu_B)_{B \in \mathcal{R}}$  be such a family of  $\sigma$ -finite measures that, for  $B \in \mathcal{R}$ , we have  $\text{dom}(\mu_B) = S \cap \mathcal{P}(B)$ , where  $\mathcal{P}(B)$  denotes the power set of the set  $B$ .

**Definition 2** A family  $(\mu_B)_{B \in \mathcal{R}}$  is called to be consistent if

$$(\forall X)(\forall B_1, B_2)(X \in S \ \& \ B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mu_{B_1}(X \cap B_1 \cap B_2) = \mu_{B_2}(X \cap B_1 \cap B_2)).$$

The following assertion plays a key role in our future investigation.

**Lemma 7 ([7], Lemma 1)** *Let  $(\mu_B)_{B \in \mathcal{R}}$  be a consistent family of  $\sigma$ -finite measures. Then there exists a measure  $\mu_{\mathcal{R}}$  on  $(E, S)$  such that*

(i)  $\mu_{\mathcal{R}}(B) = \mu_B(B)$  for every  $B \in \mathcal{R}$ ;  
(ii) if there exists a non-countable family of pairwise disjoint sets  $\{B_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$  such that  $0 < \mu_{B_i}(B_i) < \infty$ , then the measure  $\mu_{\mathcal{R}}$  is non- $\sigma$ -finite;

<sup>1</sup>We set  $\ln(0) = -\infty$

(iii) if  $G$  is a group of measurable transformations of  $E$  such that  $G(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}$  and

$$(\forall B)(\forall X)(\forall g)((B \in \mathcal{R} \ \& \ X \in S \cap \mathcal{P}(B) \ \& \ g \in G) \rightarrow \mu_{g(B)}(g(X)) = \mu_B(X)),$$

then the measure  $\mu_{\mathcal{R}}$  is  $G$ -invariant.

**Remark 1** Let  $(E_j, \rho_j)_{j \in \alpha}$  be again a sequence of non-empty Polish metric spaces such that at most  $\aleph_0$  of them are noncompact. Let  $(\mu_j)_{j \in \alpha}$  be a sequence of Borel non-zero diffused finite measures with  $\text{dom}(\mu_j) = \mathcal{B}(E_j)$  for  $j \in \alpha$  and

$$0 < (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j(E_j) < +\infty.$$

By Lemma 5, we claim that there exists a unique  $\tau$ -smooth and Radon Borel extension  $\lambda$  of the Baire probability measure  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} \frac{\mu_j}{\mu_j(E_j)}$ . A Borel measure

$$(\mathbf{S}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j(E_j) \times \lambda$$

is called a standard product of the family of finite Borel measures  $(\mu_j)_{j \in \alpha}$  and is denoted by  $(\mathbf{S}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$ .

We put

$$\tau_i = \prod_{j \in \alpha_i} \mu_j.$$

**Lemma 8** Let  $\alpha$  be again an arbitrary infinite parameter set, and let  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$  be its any partition such that  $\alpha_i$  is a non-empty finite subset of  $\alpha$  for every  $i \in I$ . Let  $\mu_j$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite diffused Borel measure defined on a Polish space  $(E_j, \rho_j)$  for  $j \in \alpha$ .

By  $\mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$ , we denote the family of all measurable rectangles  $R \subseteq \prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j$  of the form  $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$  with the property  $0 \leq (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(R_i) < \infty$  such that at most  $\aleph_0$  of  $R_i$ 's are noncompact (i.e.,  $\text{card}\{i : i \in I \ \& \ R_i \text{ is not compact in } \prod_{j \in \alpha_i} E_j\} \leq \aleph_0$ .)

We suppose that there exists  $R_0 = \prod_{i \in I} R_i^{(0)} \in \mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$  such that

$$0 < (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(R_i^{(0)}) < \infty.$$

For  $X \in \mathcal{B}(R)$ , we set  $\mu_R(X) = 0$  if

$$(\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(R_i) = 0,$$

and

$$\mu_R(X) = (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(R_i) \times \left( \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\tau_i(R_i)}{\tau_i(R_i)} \right)(X)$$

otherwise, where  $\frac{\tau_i(R_i)}{\tau_i(R_i)}$  is a Borel probability measure defined on  $R_i$  as follows:

$$(\forall X)(X \in \mathcal{B}(R_i) \rightarrow \frac{\tau_i(R_i)}{\tau_i(R_i)}(X) = \frac{\tau_i(X)}{\tau_i(R_i)}).$$

Then the family of measures  $(\mu_R)_{R \in \mathcal{R}}$  is consistent.

*Proof.* Let  $R_1 = \prod_{i \in I} R_i^{(1)}$  and  $R_2 = \prod_{i \in I} R_i^{(2)}$  be two elements of the class  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$ .

Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that  $0 < (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(R_i^{(1)}) < \infty$  and  $0 < (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(R_i^{(2)}) < \infty$ .

We will show that  $\mu_{R_1}(X) = \mu_{R_2}(X)$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{B}(R_1 \cap R_2)$ . In this case, it is sufficient to show that  $\mu_{R_1}(Y) = \mu_{R_2}(Y)$  for every elementary measurable rectangle  $Y = \prod_{i \in I} Y_i$  in  $R_1 \cap R_2$ . Note here that, as an elementary measurable rectangle  $Y = \prod_{i \in I} Y_i$

in  $R_1 \cap R_2$ , we assume a subset of  $R_1 \cap R_2$  such that  $Y_i \in \mathcal{B}(R_i^{(1)} \cap R_i^{(2)})$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, there exists a finite subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  such that  $Y_i = R_i^{(1)} \cap R_i^{(2)}$  for  $i \in I \setminus I_0$ .

For every  $i \in I$  and for every  $Y_i \in \mathcal{B}(R_i^{(1)} \cap R_i^{(2)})$ , we have

$$\tau_i(Y_i \cap R_i^{(1)} \cap R_i^{(2)}) = \tau_i(Y_i \cap R_i^{(1)}) = \tau_i(Y_i \cap R_i^{(2)}).$$

The latter relation yields

$$(\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(Y_i \cap R_i^{(1)} \cap R_i^{(2)}) = (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(Y_i \cap R_i^{(1)}) = (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(Y_i \cap R_i^{(1)}).$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{R_1}(\prod_{i \in I} Y_i) &= (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(Y_i \cap R_i^{(1)}) = (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(Y_i \cap R_i^{(1)} \cap R_i^{(2)}) = \\ &= (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(Y_i \cap R_i^{(2)}) = \mu_{R_2}(\prod_{i \in I} Y_i). \end{aligned}$$

Since a class  $\mathcal{A}(R_1 \cap R_2)$  of all finite disjoint unions of elementary measurable rectangles in  $R_1 \cap R_2$  is a ring, and since, by definition, the class  $\mathcal{B}_0(R_1 \cap R_2)$  of Baire subsets of  $R_1 \cap R_2$  is a minimal  $\sigma$ -ring generated by the ring  $\mathcal{A}(R_1 \cap R_2)$ , we claim (cf. [3], Theorem B, p. 27) that the class of all those sets of  $R_1 \cap R_2$ , for which this equality holds, coincides with the class  $\mathcal{B}_0(R_1 \cap R_2)$ .

Since restrictions of  $\mu_{R_1}$  and  $\mu_{R_2}$  to the class  $\mathcal{B}_0(R_1 \cap R_2)$  coincide, and  $R_1 \cap R_2$  is a product of non-empty Polish metric spaces such that at most  $\aleph_0$  of them are noncompact, we claim by Lemma 5 that their Borel extensions coincide so that the extended Borel measure is unique,  $\tau$ -smooth, and Radon. The latter relation means that the family of measures  $(\mu_R)_{R \in \mathcal{R}}$  is consistent, and Lemma 8 is proved.  $\square$

Let  $\alpha$  be again an arbitrary infinite parameter set, and let  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$  be its any partition such that  $\alpha_i$  is a non-empty finite subset of the  $\alpha$  for every  $i \in I$ . Let  $\mu_j$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite continuous Borel measure defined on a Polish space  $(E_j, \rho_j)$  for  $j \in \alpha$ .

We denote, by  $\mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$ , the family of all measurable rectangles  $R \subseteq \prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j$  of the form  $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$  with the property  $0 \leq (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(R_i) < \infty$  such that at most  $\aleph_0$  of  $R_i$ 's are noncompact.

We suppose that there exists  $R_0 = \prod_{i \in I} R_i^{(0)} \in \mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$  such that

$$0 < (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(R_i^{(0)}) < \infty.$$

We say that a Borel measure  $\nu_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$  defined on  $\mathcal{B}(\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j)$  is called a standard  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ -product of the family of  $\sigma$ -finite continuous Borel measures  $(\mu_j)_{j \in \alpha}$  if, for every

$$R = \prod_{i \in I} R_i \in \mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}},$$

we have

$$\nu_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}(R) = (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(R_i),$$

where  $\tau_i = \prod_{j \in \alpha_i} \mu_j$  for  $i \in I$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mu_j$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite diffused Borel measure defined on a Polish space  $(E_j, \rho_j)$  for  $j \in \alpha$ . Let  $\alpha$  be again an arbitrary infinite parameter set, let  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$  be its*

any partition such that  $\alpha_i$  is a non-empty finite subset of  $\alpha$  for every  $i \in I$ , and let us suppose that there exists  $R_0 = \prod_{i \in I} R_i^{(0)} \in \mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$  such that

$$0 < (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(R_i^{(0)}) < \infty.$$

Then there exists a standard  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ -product of the family  $(\mu_j)_{j \in \alpha}$ .

*Proof.* For  $X \in \mathcal{B}(R)$ , we set  $\mu_R(X) = 0$  if

$$(\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau_i(R_i) = 0,$$

and

$$\mu_R(X) = (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} \tau(R_i) \times \left( \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\tau_i R_i}{\tau_i(R_i)} \right) (X)$$

otherwise, where  $\frac{\tau_i R_i}{\tau_i(R_i)}$  is a Borel probability measure defined on  $R_i$  as follows:

$$(\forall X)(X \in \mathcal{B}(R_i) \rightarrow \frac{\tau_i R_i}{\tau_i(R_i)}(X) = \frac{\tau_i(X)}{\tau_i(R_i)}).$$

By Lemma 8, the family of measures  $(\mu_R)_{R \in \mathcal{R}}$  is consistent. We set

$$\nu_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}} = \mu_{\mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}},$$

where the measure  $\mu_{\mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}}$  is defined by Lemma 7.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

In the sequel, we denote a standard  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ -product of the family  $(\mu_j)_{j \in \alpha}$  by

$$(\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j.$$

Here, we present a certain example of the family of  $\sigma$ -finite continuous Borel measures  $(\mu_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined on the real axis  $\mathbb{R}$  and of two different partitions  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\beta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ , for which

$$(\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_j \neq (\mathbf{S}, (\beta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_j.$$

**Example 1** We set  $\alpha = \mathbb{N}$ . For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $l_j$  be a linear Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\alpha_i = \{i\}$  and  $\beta_i = \{2i + 1, 2(i + 1)\}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We set

$$Y_i = [0, \frac{1}{2}] \times [0, 2].$$

It is obvious that

$$((\mathbf{S}, (\beta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} l_j) \left( \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Y_i \right) = 1$$

and

$$((\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} l_j) \left( \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Y_i \right) = 0.$$

In view of Theorem 1 and Example 1, we state the following

**Problem 1** Under assumptions of Theorem 1, describe all pairs of partitions  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $(\beta_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $\alpha$ , for which  $(\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j = (\mathbf{S}, (\beta_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$ .

The next statement is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** *Under assumptions of Theorem 1, if each measure  $\mu_j$  is  $G_j$ -left-and-right-invariant, where  $G_j$  denotes a group of Borel transformations of the  $E_j$  for  $j \in \alpha$ , then the measure  $(\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$  is  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} G_j$ -left-and-right-invariant.*

*Proof.* We set  $G = \prod_{j \in \alpha} G_j$ . Let us show that the measure  $(\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$  is  $G$ -left-and-right-invariant. Indeed, let  $g, f \in G$  and  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j)$ .

If  $X$  is not covered by a countable family of elements of  $\mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$ , then such will be  $gXf$ , because the class  $\mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$  is left-and-right-invariant, i.e.,  $g\mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}f = \mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$  for every  $g, f \in G$ . Hence, by the definition of the measure  $(\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$ , we have

$$((\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j)(gXf) = +\infty.$$

Now let  $X$  be covered by the family  $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$  such that  $A_0 = \emptyset$ . Then  $gXf$  will be covered by the family  $(gA_kf)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$ . Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j)(gXf) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{gA_n f}((gA_n f \setminus \cup_{k=0}^{n-1} gA_k f) \cap gXf) = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{gA_n f}(g((A_n f \setminus \cup_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k f) \cap Xf)) = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{A_n f}((A_n f \setminus \cup_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k f) \cap Xf) = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{A_n f}((A_n \setminus \cup_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k) \cap Xf) = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{A_n}((A_n \setminus \cup_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k) \cap X) = ((\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j)(X). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

By the scheme used in the proof of Theorem 2, one can prove the following assertion.

**Theorem 3** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, if each measure  $\mu_j$  is  $G_j$ -left-invariant, where  $G_j$  denotes a group of Borel transformations of the  $E_j$  for  $j \in \alpha$ , then the measure  $(\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$  is a  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} G_j$ -left-invariant.*

**Observation 1.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 1, the measure  $(\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$  is Radon.*

*Proof.* Let  $0 < ((\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j)(X) < \infty$ . This means that  $X \in \mathcal{B}(\prod_{j \in \alpha} E_j)$  is covered by any countable family  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$  such that  $A_0 = \emptyset$  and

$$((\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j)(X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{A_n}((A_n \setminus \cup_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k) \cap X).$$

Since the measure  $\lambda_{A_n}$  is Radon, we can choose a compact set

$$F_n \subseteq (A_n \setminus \cup_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k) \cap X$$

such that

$$\lambda_{A_n}(((A_n \setminus \cup_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k) \cap X) \setminus F_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n+1}}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Moreover, we can choose a natural number  $n_\epsilon$  such that

$$\sum_{n=n_\epsilon+1}^{\infty} \lambda_{A_n}((A_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k) \cap X) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j)(X \setminus \bigcup_{s=0}^{n_\epsilon} F_s) &= \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{A_n}((A_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k) \cap (X \setminus \bigcup_{s=0}^{n_\epsilon} F_s)) &= \\ \sum_{n=1}^{n_\epsilon} \lambda_{A_n}((A_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k) \cap (X \setminus \bigcup_{s=0}^{n_\epsilon} F_s)) + \\ \sum_{n=n_\epsilon+1}^{\infty} \lambda_{A_n}((A_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k) \cap (X \setminus \bigcup_{s=0}^{n_\epsilon} F_s)) &\leq \\ \sum_{n=1}^{n_\epsilon} \lambda_{A_n}(((A_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k) \cap X) \setminus F_n) + \\ \sum_{n=n_\epsilon+1}^{\infty} \lambda_{A_n}((A_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k) \cap X) &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3** For  $j \in \alpha$ , we set  $E_j = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu_j = m$ , where  $m$  denotes a linear Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$  be any partition of  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha_i$  is non-empty finite for every  $i \in I$ .

It is clear that  $\prod_{j \in \alpha} [a_j, b_j] \in \mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$  if  $0 \leq (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in I} m^{\alpha_i}(\prod_{j \in \alpha_i} [a_j, b_j]) < \infty$ , where  $m^{\alpha_i}$  is a Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{\alpha_i}$ .

Then the measure  $(\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$  has the following property:

$$((\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j)(\prod_{j \in \alpha} [a_j, b_j]) = (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} (b_j - a_j).$$

The measure  $(\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$  is called a standard " $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ -Lebesgue measure" on  $\mathbb{R}^\alpha$ .

When  $\text{card}(\alpha_i) = 1$  for every  $i \in I$ , then  $(\mathbf{S}, (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) \prod_{j \in \alpha} \mu_j$  is called a standard Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^\alpha$  and is denoted by  $m^\alpha$ .

Let  $f$  be any permutation of  $\alpha$ . A mapping  $A_f : \mathbb{R}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\alpha$  defined by  $A_f((x_i)_{i \in \alpha}) = (x_{f(i)})_{i \in \alpha}$  for  $(x_i)_{i \in \alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^\alpha$  is called a canonical permutation of  $\mathbb{R}^\alpha$ .

Note that, in our situation,  $\mathcal{R}_{(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}}$  is the family of all measurable rectangles  $R \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^\alpha)$  of the form  $\prod_{i \in \alpha} Y_i$  with the property  $0 \leq (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in \alpha} m(Y_i) < \infty$  such that at most  $\aleph_0$  of them are noncompact (i.e., the card  $\{i : i \in I \text{ & } Y_i \text{ is not compact in } \prod_{i \in \alpha_i} E_i\} \leq \aleph_0$ ). It is obvious that a measure  $m^\alpha$  is invariant under a group  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^\alpha)$  generated by shifts and canonical permutations of  $\mathbb{R}^\alpha$  and

$$m^\alpha(\prod_{i \in \alpha} Y_i) = (\mathbf{S}) \prod_{i \in \alpha} m(Y_i).$$

**Remark 4** We can say that the main shortcoming of Baker's measures [1], [2] is that they are not invariant under the group of all canonical permutations of  $\mathbb{R}^\mathbb{N}$ .

Indeed, let us consider the following infinite-dimensional rectangular set

$$X = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} [0, e^{\frac{(-1)^k}{k}}].$$

Then, for every non-zero real number  $a$ , there exists a canonical permutation  $f_a$  of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  such that  $\lambda(A_f(X)) = a$ , where  $\lambda$  is any Baker's measure [1], [2].

Such a difference between our and Baker's measures is caused by the phenomenon that a standard (unlike an ordinary) product of the infinite family of real numbers is invariant under all permutations.

**Acknowledgments.** The author expresses his thanks to the anonymous referee for the careful reading of the manuscript and helpful remarks.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. BAKER, "Lebesgue measure" on  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **113**, (1991), 1023–1029.
2. R. BAKER, "Lebesgue measure" on  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ . II. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132**, (2004), 2577–2591.
3. P. R. HALMOS, *Measure Theory*, Princeton, Van Nostrand, 1950.
4. P. A. LOEB AND D. A. ROSS, Infinite products of infinite measures. *Illinois J. Math.* **49**, (2005), no. 1, 153–158.
5. J. MAŘÍK, The Baire and Borel measure, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **7**, (1957), 248–253.
6. G. R. PANTSULAI, *Invariant and Quasiinvariant Measures in Infinite-Dimensional Topological Vector Spaces*, Nova Sci., New York, 2007.
7. G. R. PANTSULAI, On ordinary and standard Lebesgue measures on  $R^\infty$ , *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci.* **73**, (2009), 209–222.
8. C. A. ROGERS, *Hausdorff Measures*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1970.
9. A. H. STONE, Paracompactness and product spaces *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **54**, (1948), 977–982.
10. W. STEPHEN, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley, London, 1970.
11. S. Y. WEN, A certain regular property of the method I construction and packing measure, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **23**, (2007), no. 10, 1769–1776.
12. N. N. VAKHANYA, V. I. TARIELADZE, S. A. CHOBANYAN, *Probability Distributions in Banach Spaces*, Nauka, Moscow (1985) (in Russian).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIAN TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, KOSTAVA STREET-77, 0175 TBILISI-75, GEORGIA

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY STREET-2, 0143 TBILISI-43, GEORGIA

*E-mail address:* gogi\_pantsulaia@hotmail.com